

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,764	08/25/2003	Takashi Nishimura	03280078US	1257
7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER		
McGuireWoods LLP			VANNUCCI, JAMES	
Tysons Corner Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1750 Tysons Boulevard		2828		
McLean, VA	22102-4215		DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summers	10/646,764	NISHIMURA, TAI	KASHI (Wy
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		4
	Jim Vannucci	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ty.* communication.	
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,14-22,32-38 and 58 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,14-22,32-38 and 58 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-22-04 & 1-14-04. ★ 12↓ 8 (○ 3	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: IDS of 12-8-0	ite atent Application (PT0	O-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 7-13, 23-31 and 39-57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on July 8, 2005. Applicant indicated that claim 31 was part of the elected species. However, it appears claim 31 is from a different species and claim 31 has not been addressed here.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 14-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Manabe(6,404,552).

Claim 1, figure 1 discloses a light transmissive member(104) having a first surface(104a) and a second surface(104b), a first light-separating portion(col. 8, lines 18-19) formed on the first surface since the first surface is in contact with surface 103b, and a second light-separating portion(col. 7, lines 35-39) formed on the second surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,764

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 2, the first light-separating portion(104a) separates a portion of the single incident beam into a reflected light and a transmitted light, the second light-separating portion(104b) separates a remaining portion of the single incident beam into a reflected light and a transmitted light, and the beam splitter outputs two beams of reflected light(106B & 106R) and one beam of transmitted light(106G).

Claims 3 and 18, the light transmissive member (104) disclosed in figure 1 is a transparent optical member in a shape of a triangular prism having three side surfaces where the first light-separating portion and the second light-separating portion are formed on two of the three side surfaces on the triangular prism.

Claims 4 and 19, the optical member(104) is a triangular prism having a bottom surface substantially in a shape of a right isosceles triangle.

Claims 6 and 21, the first and second disclosed light-separating portions have a reflectance of approximately 67% since each reflects one of the three colors of light propagating through the system.

Claims 14 and 22, the first and second light-separating portions disclosed in figure 1 are formed of a light-separating film.

Claim 15, figure 1 discloses a light source generating a light beam(101).

Claim 16, figure 1 discloses a line-beam-generating optical element(107) that produces a line beam from a light beam separated by at least one of the first and second light-separating portions.

Claim 17, figure 1 discloses a beam splitter that separates the light beam generated by the light source(101) into a first reflected beam reflected by the first light-

Art Unit: 2828

separating portion(104a), a second reflected beam reflected by the second lightseparating portion(104b), a transmitted beam formed of both light transmitted through
the first light-separating portion and light transmitted through the second light-separating
portion, and a line-beam-generating optical element(107) that receives the first reflected
beam, the second reflected beam, and the transmitted beam and converts the received
beam to a line beam.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 20, 32-38 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manabe in view of Kim et al.(6,567,366).

Claims 5, 20 and 37, figure 1 of Manabe discloses a light transmissive member with a first optical member(104), a second optical member(103), and a third optical member(105) that are transparent prisms, where each of the transparent prisms has a bottom surface, two side surfaces that include two sides that form an apex angle in the bottom surface, and an opposing side surface that includes a side opposing the apex angle in the bottom surface, where the two side surfaces of the first optical member are bonded to the opposing side surface of the second optical member and to the opposing

Application/Control Number: 10/646,764

Art Unit: 2828

side surface of the third optical member, and where the first light-separating portion and the second light-separating portion are formed on the two bonded side surfaces.

Only two, not all three, of the prisms disclosed in Manabe is an isosceles prism.

Figure 6 of Kim discloses using three isosceles prisms(43, 45 & 46) in a beam splitting device.

Claim 32, figure 1 of Manabe discloses a beam splitter receiving a light beam generated by a source, a light transmissive member having a first surface and a second surface, a first light-separating portion formed on the first surface, a second light-separating portion formed on the second surface, a line-beam-generating optical element generating a line beam from a light beam separated by at least one of the first and second light-separating portions, and a support unit supporting the source, the beam splitter, and the line-beam-generating optical element(col. 4, line 40).

Manabe does not disclose a laser source.

Kim discloses using a laser in a beam splitting device with prisms for improved transfer of information with an optical disk(col. 2).

Claim 33, see the rejection of claim 17 under Manabe above.

Claim 34, figure 1 of Manabe discloses a light-separating element(102p) provided along a path of the sum of the light reflected off the first light-separating portion, the light reflected off the second light-separating portion, and the light transmitted through the first and second light-separating portions, thereby generating four light beams, and a line-beam generating optical element(107) receiving the sum of the of the light beams and converting the light beams to line beams.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,764

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 35, see the rejection of claim 3 under Manabe above.

Claim 36, see the rejection of claim 4 under Manabe above.

Claim 38, see the rejection of claim 6 under Manabe above.

Claim 58, see the rejection of claim 14 under Manabe above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the laser and three isosceles prisms disclosed in Kim in the device disclosed in Manabe for improved performance as disclosed in Kim.

Correspondence

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Vannucci whose phone number is (571) 272-1820.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

James Vannucci

James Vannucci

Page 6